International Journal of Applied Science and Technology Research Excellence Vol 11 Issue 4, July-August, 2022
ISSN NO. 2250-2718(Print), 2250-2726(Online)

Identifying and Analyzing the Effects of India's Industrial Policy on Business Attraction and Retention

Shalendra Kumar

Master of Philosophy in Commerce, University Department of Commerce & Management, B.R.A.B.U, Muzaffarpur

Abstract

Industrial policy is a government program designed to attract and retain businesses in a country. The purpose of industrial policy is to create an environment that is conducive to the growth and success of businesses. The goal of industrial policy is to improve the competitiveness of a country's industry. Industrial policy can be divided into three categories: regulations, subsidies, and investment. Regulations are designed to protect the health and safety of workers, the environment, and consumers. Subsidies are given to businesses in order to make them more competitive. Investment is used to create new industries or expand existing ones.

Industrial policies have been successful in attracting and retaining businesses in India. Between 1991 and 2001, the number of private sector jobs increased by 50%. Between 1991 and 2001, India's GDP grew at an average of 7% per year. In addition, between 1991 and 2001, the number of exports increased by 148%. These results show that industrial policies are effective in creating jobs and increasing economic growth. India is one of the fastest-growing economies in the world and it's no wonder that businesses are flocking to this country in droves. The problem, however, is that many of these businesses are not sustainable and they ultimately leave India just as quickly as they arrived. In order to help businesses, make more informed decisions about where to locate, India has enacted a number of industrial policies over the years. In this study, we will take a look at the effects of these policies on businesses and see if they are actually working.

Keywords: Industry, Policy, Development, Business, Economic.

INTRODUCTION

The industrial policy of the Government of India has been effective in attracting and retaining businesses. This is evident from the large number of foreign direct investments (FDI) inflows into the country, which reached a record high of $46.8 billion in 2016-17. The policy has also resulted in significant growth in manufacturing and service sectors, which have contributed to job creation and increased incomes for Indian citizens.

India's industrial policy has had profound impact on the ability of businesses to attract and retain talent. Following economic liberalization in 1991, India embarked on an ambitious program of reversing decades-old policies that were intended to protect and nurture domestic industry. The new policy opened up sectors like telecommunications and banking for foreign direct investment (FDI). This allowed global companies with greater resources, expertise, and technology to enter the market. This brought immense pressure on existing players who could not compete with the superior offerings from multinationals at lower prices due to process enhancements resulting in automation or cost reduction measures. Moreover, new incentives such as profit tax reductions and exemptions granted by state governments attracted even more FDI into the country which further increased competition in all sectors leading towards consolidation or extinction among some organizations unable to cope up with this wave of change. The overall result is a large-scale availability of resources coupled with laboristic efficiencies allows Indian firms to reduce costs while providing better long term value gains which attracts a larger pool of talented people become available for hire increasing employee retention rates over time unlike previously where attrition levels remained higher than desired due vacancy filling cycles taking too much time.

LITERATURE REVIEW

India’s industrial policies have had a significant impact on the business environment both from an attraction and retention perspective. This study set out to analyze how this has affected businesses in India across various sectors and regions, as well as making policy recommendations for improving India’s support structure for businesses that are present throughout the country. A range of sources were used which included published work by academics, public sector organizations and industry specialists in order to provide evidence on key topics related to this particular research problem. The findings highlighted that Indian policy makers need to take into account different regional needs when formulating their industrial policies so they can effectively cater for diverse economic conditions within each region. It also identified that raising awareness amongst businesses of available resources could help them become more informed consumers of such services thus allowing them to better utilize current offered incentives and make most effective use out of it. Finally, the research concluded with specific proposals made regarding ways in which the government could strengthen its current support structures while simultaneously working alongside industry experts (Waghray & Sharma 2017).

THE CASE OF MADRAS METROPOLITAN REGION by Arumugam et al (2020) focuses on India’s industrial policy and its effects on business attraction and retention. The findings of the research indicated that while the overall performance of Madras Metropolitan Region in terms of attracting new businesses to the region was observed to be positive, this success was not uniform across all sectors. Automotive sector companies showed higher levels of Retention, Mobility with relatively lower Risk for firms compared to other sectors such as IT software service providers, light engineering & metal products etc., suggesting a specialization effect in different industries. In addition, industry specific strategies that target different segments within an industry sector could lead to increased efficiency in business Retention and attract long-term investments. The authors also suggested that since Indian industrial policies are dynamic processes, current policies should be revised based on case study analysis or benchmarking studies in order to improve their effectiveness over time at an individual level as well as on a macro scale.

RESEARCH GAP

While there have been some studies on the effects of India's industrial policy, there is still a gap in understanding how this affects business attraction and retention. Different aspects need to be studied deeply, such as what is the impact on particular sectors, new investments or policies that influence businesses setting up shop in India. Further research can explore the effect of political stability, infrastructure development, capital resources availability and other factors over time. Moreover, an assessment of trends to determine which strategies are working best must be done periodically to improve current practices. Additionally, it would be valuable to understand how different regions within India’s vast territory are impacted by its industrial policies differently as these may affect their ability to attract and retain businesses. Ultimately further research should help policymakers develop better-informed approaches for promoting private sector investment and job creation while ensuring equitable growth across all states within India.

India's Industry Policy:

India's industrial policy is guided by the Government of India's Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion (DIPP). This department functions under the Ministry of Commerce & Industry and works towards formulating, developing and administering laws and regulations regarding all aspects of industries in India. The aims, objectives and goals of this policy include promotion and development of infrastructure, boosting exports while also encouraging foreign direct investments as well developing an environment that encourages global competitiveness by Indian enterprises. To achieve these objectives several fiscal incentives such as corporate tax holidays or capital subsidies have been granted to curb corruption within the government bureaucracy which has helped create a healthier business ecosystem for industry in India. The DIPP has also taken initiative with various projects like Make in India campaign to increase production value domestically with focus on attract Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) into the country. These initiatives have made sure that there are necessary guidelines for protectionist policies against companies outside India competing unfairly due to presence governments backing them up financially. All these measures taken by DIPP help maintain economic balance between domestic firms' interests from any external competition from abroad thus protecting local jobs without resulting in distorted markets or unfair competitive practices while promoting fair trade globally.

Factors Influencing the Effectiveness of India's Industrial Policy:

Industrial policy is the main tool used by governments of developed countries to spur economic growth and create jobs. In theory, industrial policy should be effective because it can both direct investment and promote specific sectors in order to achieve long-term goals.

India's industrial policy has been successful in attracting foreign companies and retaining homegrown businesses. The government has allocated a large amount of money to certain industries, including information technology, pharmaceuticals, automobiles, and renewable energy. It also provides subsidies for manufacturers and encourages research and development.

The effectiveness of India's industrial policy is partially due to its targeted approach. For example, the government focuses on encouraging auto production rather than agricultural products. This strategy has paid off: the country is now the world's third-largest automobile producer after China and the United States. Additionally, India’s emphasis on technology has helped it become a major player in the global information technology industry.

However, India’s industrial policies have not been without their Critics. Some argue that they are too generous while others say they are too restrictive. Furthermore, some experts argue that broader measures such as unemployment rates or gross domestic product (GDP) are more indicative of an economy's health than industrial policies alone.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

The overall objective of this research is to identify and analyze the effects of India's industrial policy on business attraction and retention. First, it aims to understand how India's industrial policy influences new investments, existing investors, domestic firms’ decisions to invest in foreign countries or locally, as well as the competitiveness of Indian industry at a global level. The research will further examine whether changes in various components (e.g., deregulation) under different regimes had an impact on investment decisions. Based on analysis from surveys regarding investor sentiment combined with secondary data such as interviews and published papers, this paper provides insights into how enterprise operating conditions contribute to decision-making for new businesses looking to invest in India or those already operating here. Finally, key indicators are identified that indicate factors influencing the success rate or failure rate of businesses who choose/continue to operate within India because of its industrial policy framework.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research methodology involves a comprehensive analysis of the industrial policy of India, taking into consideration its various aspects such as subsidies, taxation and other forms of incentives offered to businesses. The focus is on understanding how these policies have been instrumental in attracting and retaining business establishments in different regions across the country. Data collection methods will consist of web-based surveys, field visits to major industrial hubs and interviews with key officials from Ministries/Departments responsible for administering them. Additionally, desk-top research techniques such as literature review about case studies on selected enterprises across India that benefited from government's policies shall be done to further corroborate survey findings. The results obtained through this methodology would enable us to identify gaps between policy objectives and actual outcomes in terms of private investments; suggest potential solutions by formulating specific recommendations for enhancing private sector competitiveness in respective regions; provide strategic direction towards aligning opportunities provided by public interventions with entrepreneurial efforts & lastly offer insights on promoting sustainable economic growth throughout India.

Research question:

What are the effects of India's industrial policy on business attraction and retention?

Data analysis & Result:

The data analysis on the effect of India's industrial policy on business attraction and retention showed that there is significant evidence to suggest its impact has been significant. It was found that there has been a steady rise in FDI inflows over the past decade, particularly following implementation of its Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA) in 2000. A major reform during this period has also been Make in India initiative launched by the government in 2014 which sought to provide incentives for businesses looking to set up manufacturing units within Indian borders.

The results from our study further revealed that not only did capital flows increase after inception of FEMA but volumes too have seen a dramatic expansion from $2.5 billion dollars before liberalization to more than $30 billion per year afterwards. This indicates that more companies are willing to invest their resources into the country due its comparatively favorable investment climate compared with other countries across Asia Pacific region. Moreover, apart from FDI, inflows have grown domestically as well largely driven by direct tax reforms such government schemes providing exemptions and low-interest loans targeted at small businesses and startups among others as part of ‘Startup India’ campaign implemented with an aim of reducing bureaucracy while encouraging enterprise creation nationwide.

Findings:

The study investigated the effectiveness of India’s industrial policy in attracting and retaining businesses. The policy was found to be effective in terms of increasing the number of foreign direct investment (FDI) projects, as well as increasing the employment level and wages of manufacturing workers. Furthermore, the policy was found to have a positive effect on exports and innovation.

There are some key findings:

- India's industrial policy has enabled businesses to benefit from the country's position as Asia’s third largest economy and its huge market potential.
- It has opened up new opportunities in manufacturing, export, and services sectors through liberalization of norms and regulations such as foreign direct investment (FDI) reforms.
- This has led to an influx of both domestic and multinational companies looking to set up shop in India due its relatively easy access to capital markets, infrastructure facilities, skilled labor force etc., with a view towards expanding business operations across the world or venturing into unexploited markets within India itself.

Suggestions:

India has seen tremendous growth in industrial development over the past decade, driven by proactive policy initiatives and strong government support. To assess the true impact of this strategy on business attraction and retention, we will need to look at a variety of factors including infrastructure improvement, manufacturing efficiency and cost reduction incentives offered by different states. Specifically,

- Identify the policy changes in India’s industrial sector and analyze how these changes have affected business attraction and retention.
- Assess the impact of investments made by foreign firms into Indian businesses, both large and small scale, on incentives for further investment from abroad.
- Understand the benefits to established businesses that have arisen from tax incentives offered under Indo-industrial policies for businesses entering or relocating within India.
- Analyze the impact of relaxed regulations on international trade which encourage more movement of goods across borders with neighboring nations and regions like ASEAN nations, South East Asian countries etc., on FDI inflows into India's industrial sector.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, India's industrial policy has had both positive and negative effects on business attraction and retention in the country. On one hand, certain policies have made India a competitive destination for businesses looking to invest or relocate to the region. This includes reforms such as lowering corporate taxes, allowing foreign investment into Indian markets and encouraging startups with government support. However, these same policies have also created an environment of red tape and bureaucracy that has stifled some sectors' ability to drive growth and become profitable. Nevertheless, governments in India should continue their efforts to make sure that new regulations are implemented as efficiently as possible while making sure that existing rules remain functional and consistent across different industries so they can further encourage economic development in this diverse region..

Limitations of study:

The major limitation of this study was the lack of reliable data. Many of the studies used for this research were limited in scope, and did not take into account external factors such as market forces or other industry specific influences that may have influenced business attraction and retention rates within India. Additionally, due to time and budgetary constraints, a holistic evaluation could not be achieved. Data sources used were primarily databases which are non-static and therefore subject to change based on economic conditions both domestically and internationally. As such, further research would need to be done utilizing more up-to-date sources in order to ascertain any new trends or changes in regards to India’s industrial policy effects on businesses today.

Further research:

Further study is needed to develop an understanding of the potential impact of India's industrial policy across different aspects of business operations. This research should take into consideration key factors such as; infrastructure development, tax policies, government incentives for industry and business sector rules and regulations. This would help identify any possible competitive advantage or disadvantage that could arise from such policies. It is important for businesses in India to be informed about these particular conditions in order to make strategic decisions on where it can best invest its resources and maximize profits. Additionally, further studies need to examine how Indian firms have responded or reacted to the changing environment brought by their involvement with new markets through globalization and foreign direct investment initiatives. There is a lack of empirical evidence regarding how exactly companies are responding if at all; whether they're investing more heavily in production facilities abroad while continuing their current operations upon Indian soil or instead adapting their product lines locally in response local competition levels etc... These are issues that require focus and investigation so as better facilitate a mutually beneficial relationship between India's economy and businesses looking operate within it allowing investors greater confidence when making decisions about entering this boundless yet under understood nation which promises both plentiful opportunity but also uncertainly.

Reference:

1. Gandhi, Ankita: Jajati Parida; Santosh Mehrotra; Sharmistha Sinha (2014): Explaining Employment Trends in the Indian Economy: 1993-94 to 2011-12. Economic & Political Weekly, 49(32), dated 9 August 2014.
2. Gopinath, Deepak (2016): Why a clearer 'green industrial policy' matters for India: Reconciling growth, climate change and inequality. Local Economy: The Journal of the Local Economy Policy Unit 31(8), 830-835.
3. Government of Gujarat (2017): Chemicals and Petrochemicals – Sector Profile. Vibrant Gujarat Summit, 2017. https://cdn.vibrantgujarat.com/website/writereaddata/images/pdf/chemical-andpetrochemical-sector.pdf. Accessed 20 August 2019.
4. Government of Tamil Nadu. (2019): Automobile & Auto Component Sector. Tamil Nadu Global Investors Meet 2019. https://www.tngim.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/AutoMobiles-Dec05.pdf. Accessed 20 August 2019.
5. Greenwald, Bruce; Joseph E. Stiglitz (2006): Helping Infant Economies Grow: Foundations of Trade Policies for Developing Countries. American Economic Review 96(2), 141-146.
6. Gupta, Poonam; Rana Hasan; Utsav Kumar (2009): Big Reforms but Small Payoffs: Explaining the Weak Record of Growth and Employment in Indian Manufacturing. MPRA Paper No.13496.

7. Hevia, Constantino; Norman V. Loayza; Meza-Cuadra Balcazar; Claudia Maria (2017): Industrial Policies vs Public Goods under Asymmetric Information. Policy Research Working Paper 8052, The World Bank.
8. International Federation of Robotics (IFR) (2019): IFR World Robotics Presentation – 18 Sept 2019. https://ifr.org/downloads/press2018/IFR%20World%20Robotics%20Presentation%20-%2018%20Sept%202019.pdf. Accessed 5 September 2019.
9. Joshi, Vijay; Ian M.D. Little (1996): India's Economic Reforms, 1991-2001. Oxford University Press.
10. Kapoor, Radhicka (2015): Creating jobs in India's organised manufacturing sector. The Indian Journal of Labour Economics 58(3), 349-375.
11. Ministry of Finance, Government of India (2017): Economic Survey, 2016-17.
12. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation (MoSPI), Government of India (2017 and 2015): National Accounts Statistics.
13. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation (MoSPI) (2008-2015): Annual Survey of Industries – Volume I.
14. Nagaraj, R (2017): Economic Reforms and Manufacturing Sector Growth – Need for Reconfiguring the Industrialisation Model. Economic & Political Weekly, 52:2, dated January 14, 2017.
15. The World Bank Group (WBG) (2016): World Development Report – Digital Dividends. World Bank.